UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Virginia

United States of America v. Dominic Nathaniel Torres Defendant OPPER OF DETENT))) Case No. 2:25mj1)) (ION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligibil	lity for Detention
Upon the	
☑ Motion of the Government attorney pursuan☑ Motion of the Government or Court's own n	notion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention i and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i),	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fac in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
	as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
and the community because the following conditions h (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term (b) an offense for which the maximum term Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 o (d) any felony if such person has been condescribed in subparagraphs (a) through (c) jurisdiction had existed, or a combination (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crim (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a [2] (2) the defendant has previously been convicted.	itions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person have been met: lowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or intence is life imprisonment or death; or of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or invicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of such offenses; or the of violence but involves: firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and d of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year or more is prescribed;	îS
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	of
☑ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is	
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	ıg,
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assured.	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: Solution Solut	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: \[\textstyle \text{By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. \[\textstyle \text{By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: \[\textstyle \text{Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong} \] \[\text{Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted} \]	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
The Court stated the reasons for detention at the hearing on January 13, 2025, and the record is available in the event of an appeal. The nature and circumstances of the offenses charged are serious. The defendant is charged with coercion and enticement of a minor (18 U.S.C. § 2242(b)), and transfer of obscene material (18 U.S.C. § 1470), and, if convicted, the defendant faces significant incarceration. The weight of the evidence is substantial. The evidence proffered at the
bearing and in the affidevit to the criminal complaint shows that in July 2024, an individual provided law enforcement

T aı er de hearing and in the affidavit to the criminal complaint shows that, in July 2024, an individual provided law enfor with photos of the defendant's phone that allegedly depict the defendant, who is now 22 years old, engaged in sexually explicit communications with several minors on social networking applications. In one, the defendant allegedly asked a female minor victim what her age was, to which she responded, "15 next month." The defendant allegedly responded that he was "15 almost 16" and later said, "loll I mean I can buy condoms tn, ur only like 40 mins from me." In another conversation with a self-identified 16-year-old minor, after sending sexual messages, the defendant allegedly told the minor that "he wanted to make a movie with her." He allegedly sent another self-identified 16-year-old a picture of a hand on a penis with a sexually explicit message. Law enforcement obtained court orders to identify the underage users. The defendant also allegedly engaged in unlawful sexual conduct with a minor. On December 6, 2024, law enforcement interviewed a 16-year-old female ("V1") who was identified as one of the minors the defendant communicated with in the photos. V1 reported that she communicated online with a user "Dom" who said he was 17 years old. V1's description of "Dom" matched the defendant's physical characteristics. V1 reported that she told "Dom" that she was 16 years old, both orally and online. V1 reported that twice the defendant picked her up from her home and that they had sexual intercourse in the backseat of his vehicle, she performed oral sex on the defendant, and he performed oral sex on her. "Dom" asked to take photos and videos of them having sexual intercourse, but V1 declined. Defense counsel proffered that the defendant has significant ties to El Paso, Texas and his father would serve as a third-party custodian. The Court sees no reason why the defendant's father would not be a suitable third-party custodian. However, given the evidence above, and after weighing the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any person and the community.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	01/14/2025	745) Robert J. Krask	
		United States Magistrate Judge	